

Solving Fermat-type equations via modular $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves over polyquadratic fields

Luis Dieulefait* and Jorge Jiménez Urroz†

Abstract

We solve the diophantine equations $x^4 + dy^2 = z^p$ for $d = 2$ and $d = 3$ and any prime $p > 349$ and $p > 131$ respectively. The method consists in generalizing the ideas applied by Frey, Ribet and Wiles in the solution of Fermat's Last Theorem, and by Ellenberg in the solution of the equation $x^4 + y^2 = z^p$, and we use $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves, modular forms and inner twists. In principle our method can be applied to solve this type of equations for other values of d .

1 Introduction

One of the consequences of the so called abc conjecture is that, for any integers α, β, γ , the generalized Fermat equation, $\alpha x^r + \beta y^s = \gamma z^t$, has only finitely many solutions in integers, x, y, z, r, s, t , satisfying $(x, y, z) = 1$ and $1/r + 1/s + 1/t < 1$, excepting $1^r + 2^3 = 3^2$. One piece of evidence towards this fact is the proof of Fermat's Last Theorem, or the case when r, s, t such that $1/r + 1/s + 1/t < 1$ are fixed, in which the existence of only finitely many primitive solutions, i.e. with $(x, y, z) = 1$, is already proved by Darmon and Granville in [D-G]. Hence, in general, it is not strange to expect that a given diophantine equation as the one in the title,

$$x^4 + dy^2 = z^p, \tag{1}$$

will not have any non-trivial primitive solution. Indeed, the main result of this paper is the following theorem related to cases $d = 2$ and $d = 3$.

Theorem 1 *The diophantine equation $x^4 + dy^2 = z^p$, does not have non-trivial primitive solutions (x, y, z, d, p) with $(x, y, z) = 1$, $d = 2$ and $p > 349$ prime, or $d = 3$ and $p > 131$ prime.*

*ldieulefait@ub.edu; this research was supported by project MTM2006-04895 and by a José Castillejo grant, both from MEC, Spain.

†jjimenez@ma4.upc.edu

Since the proof of Fermat's Last Theorem, analogous results have been proved to be true for many equations similar to (1), (see [Da], [D-M] or [R3]), using in all these cases the same kind of technique, which very briefly is as follows: if a primitive solution to the diophantine equation would exist, one could construct an elliptic curve, associated to that solution, which would have too many good properties which can not be verified all together and, hence, lead to a contradiction. In particular, the mod p Galois representation attached to this curve will be unramified at most of the primes of bad reduction of the curve, where p is the prime appearing as an exponent in (1). However, completing the previous outline in a concrete example is very far from obvious. One of the main obstacles that appears is that, to prove non-existence of certain solution (A, B, C) , one needs to prove that the associated curve, if it exists, should be modular. Then, a careful search among the modular forms in the appropriate spaces would give us the desired contradiction. When the elliptic curve is defined over the rationals, modularity is a consequence of the papers by Breuil, Conrad, Diamond, Taylor and Wiles [W], [TW] and [BCDT], proving the Shimura-Taniyama conjecture. However, in general, it is not possible to associate a rational elliptic curve to the problem, or it is even more convenient to attach a curve defined over a number field. This is for example the case in the papers [Da], [E1] or [Di2]. The natural objects to deal with, when considering a general number field, K , are $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves, i.e. elliptic curves defined over K that are isogenous to all their Galois conjugates by $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$. Indeed, in [R2] Ribet gives a characterization of $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves as the elliptic curves that are quotients of abelian varieties of GL_2 -type, and these varieties are, according to the generalized Shimura-Taniyama conjecture, precisely those constructed by Shimura associated to a newform of some congruence subgroup $\Gamma_1(N)$. Hence, at least under this hypothesis, one could find the L -series of a $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve as a product of L -series of newforms of this subgroup. In this case we will say that the curve is modular. In our case, given a primitive solution to equation (1) we associate the curve

$$E_{\{A,B,C\}} := y^2 = x^3 + 4Ax^2 + 2(A^2 + rB)x, \quad (2)$$

where $r^2 = -d$. The 2-isogeny in page 302 of [Si] makes the curve $E_{\{A,B,C\}}$ a $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve of degree 2 completely defined over the field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d}, \sqrt{-2})$. It is also worthwhile to note that this curve, with discriminant $\Delta = 512(A^2 + rB)C^p$, and j -invariant $j = \frac{64(5A^2 - 3rB)^3}{C^p(A^2 + rB)}$, has semistable reduction at any odd prime of bad reduction, and any such prime is in fact a divisor of C .

Modularity of $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves has been proved by Ellenberg and Skinner, [E-S], under a local condition at 3. We will use this result, together with certain generalizations of results of Manoharmayum on Serre's conjecture in characteristic 7, to check that the $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves in (2) are indeed modular, making the first step towards the proof of the theorem. How to get a contradiction from this fact depends heavily on the concrete example, and we will describe it, in each of our cases, in the following sections.

Although the strategy could apply to other values of d , in practice, it gets more and more complicated: observe that in particular our $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve will be defined over a field depending on d , and the method will involve computations on the Fourier coefficients of modular forms of level growing with d . Also, since the method requires that in some spaces of modular forms none of them has certain inner twists, it may be the case that for some values of d the method can not be applied.

On the other hand, the case $d = 2$ is somehow easier and will be treated in a slightly different way. Hence, as we have already mentioned, in this paper we will restrict to two particular choices, $d = 2$ and $d = 3$, dedicating to each of them a separate section.

Acknowledgment: We would like to thank Jordi Quer for his careful reading of the paper, in particular the section related to Theorem 4. Also, we thank the referee for his many comments and suggestions, done with care, which we found very helpful.

2 The diophantine equation $x^4 + 2y^2 = z^p$.

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 2 *The diophantine equation $x^4 + 2y^2 = z^p$ does not have non-trivial primitive solutions for $p > 349$.*

In this case, given a primitive solution (A, B, C) of the equation in the title, the associated elliptic curve $E_{\{A, B, C\}} := y^2 = x^3 + 4Ax^2 + 2(A^2 + rB)x$, for $r \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$ a squareroot of -2 , is a modular $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve completely defined over the imaginary quadratic field $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$. Observe that, since the field of complete definition is unramified at 3, and the curve has good or semistable reduction at primes dividing 3, modularity of the curve is a direct application of the results in [E-S]. We proceed to solve the diophantine equation by following the idea introduced by Frey for Fermat's Last Theorem, and already used in [E1] and [Da] for the case of $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves over $\mathbb{Q}(i)$. The curve does have semistable reduction at any odd prime of bad reduction, and we have to divide in two cases depending on the factorization of C .

Case (i): C is not divisible by 3.

In this case, from the equation $A^4 + 2B^2 = C^p$ and the assumption that the solution is primitive, we conclude that C is relatively prime to 6, thus since $C^p = A^4 + 2B^2 \geq 3$, it has an odd prime factor $q > 3$.

We consider the Weil restriction V of $E_{\{A, B, C\}}$, a GL_2 -type abelian surface defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Modularity of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve means modularity of V , i.e., of the two-dimensional Galois representations attached to it. These Galois representations $\rho_{V, \lambda}$ have field of coefficients $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ because the $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve has degree 2 (cf. [E1], [Di2]). Most of the ramification of these Galois representations is at the

primes q dividing C , where the curve has semistable reduction, (and therefore, ramification is unipotent at any such q provided that it is unramified in K , i.e., that $q > 2$), and the idea is to consider a prime $\pi \mid p$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and the residual mod π representation $\bar{\rho}_{V,\pi}$: since each odd prime appears with multiplicity divisible by p in the discriminant of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve, we know that the restriction to the Galois group of K of $\bar{\rho}_{V,\pi}$, and therefore also $\bar{\rho}_{V,\pi}$ itself, will be unramified at any prime $q > 2$ (this is basically the same trick used by Frey in connection to Fermat's Last Theorem).

Therefore, the conductor of $\bar{\rho}_{V,\pi}$ is a power of 2, and we want to compute it. First, using Tate's algorithm, (cf. [Si]), we find the conductor of $E_{\{A,B,C\}}$ at the prime $2 \in K$ dividing 2 to be either 2^{10} or 2^{12} , depending on the parity of B , (observe that A is always odd because the solution A, B, C is primitive). We then apply the formula in [Mi] relating the conductor of an elliptic curve to the conductor of its Weil restriction to obtain that the 2-part of the conductor of V is either 2^{16} or 2^{18} . Since V is an abelian surface of GL_2 -type, the conductor of $\rho_{V,\pi}$ is the square root of the conductor of V , thus has 2-part equal to 2^8 or 2^9 . We conclude that the conductor of $\bar{\rho}_{V,\pi}$ is exactly 2^8 or 2^9 (as it is well-known that in presence of wild ramification the conductor does not decrease when reducing mod p).

At this point, we have almost all ingredients, (as in the proof of Fermat's last theorem), to say that $\bar{\rho}_{V,\pi}$ corresponds to a modular form of weight 2 and level 256 or 512. This is because V is modular, and also because we can use lowering-the-level results of Ribet, to ensure that we can take the modular form of minimal level. We are just lacking one ingredient: for the above claims to make sense, we have to be sure that $\bar{\rho}_{V,\pi}$ is irreducible. Since we are in case (i), there is a prime of semistable reduction, q , not dividing 6, and we can apply Ellenberg's generalization to $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves of results of Mazur (cf. [E1], Proposition 3.2) and conclude that for $p > 13$ the residual representation is in fact irreducible.

From now on, we assume that $p > 13$. We have proved that there is a newform f of weight 2 and level 256 or 512 such that one of its attached residual characteristic p representations must be irreducible and isomorphic to $\bar{\rho}_{V,\pi}$. We consider one by one the newforms in these two spaces, 22 in total by [St], and see that this is impossible. Whenever the modular form f has complex multiplication, this is the case treated in [E1] and we will derive a contradiction from this congruence by using the method developed there. Contradiction follows from the fact that for a newform with complex multiplication, as it is well-known, the attached ℓ -adic and residual mod ℓ representations have all "small image" because they are potentially abelian, more precisely, the residual image is contained in the normalizer of a Cartan subgroup. On the other hand, the results of [E1] show that Galois representations coming from $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves defined over a quadratic field K having bad semistable reduction at some prime $q \nmid 6$ have large image for every $p > p_0$, with p_0 depending only of the field K and the degree d of the $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve (cf. loc. cit., Propositions 3.4 and 3.6), thus they can not be equivalent to those attached to a modular form with Complex Multiplication. In our case the field is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$, and $d = 2$ and we obtain, by Lemma 8 below, $p_0 = 349$.

Therefore, it only remains to consider the case of modular forms without complex multiplication. In this case, the contradiction will be derived from the following observation. The Galois representation $\rho_{V,\pi}$ is attached to V , and V is the Weil restriction of a $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve. As it is well-known (cf. [Da2] for a similar situation) this implies that $\rho_{V,\pi}$ has an “inner twist”, namely, that for any prime t where $\rho_{V,\pi}$ is unramified if we call $a_t = \text{trace } \rho_{V,\pi}(\text{Frob } t)$, then it holds:

$$a_t^\sigma = \psi(t)a_t \quad (*)$$

where σ is the involution in $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q})$ and ψ is the quadratic character corresponding to the extension $K/\mathbb{Q}$. In more concrete terms, this means that for any unramified place t :

- $a_t = z\sqrt{2}$, for some rational integer z , if $t \equiv 5, 7 \pmod{8}$, or
- $a_t \in \mathbb{Z}$ if $t \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{8}$.

Using this information and the Weil bound for the coefficients a_t it is enough to derive a contradiction. This method works provided that in the spaces that we are considering none of the newforms f satisfy simultaneously the two conditions: f does not have complex multiplication and f has field of coefficients $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ and an inner twist as in (*).

No newform in the spaces of level 256 and 512 satisfies these two conditions and so with a few computations we conclude the proof. In fact we only use the eigenvalue c_3 of the newforms without complex multiplication in these spaces. Actually, all newforms in the space of level 256 have complex multiplication, and the newforms of level 512 without complex multiplication are, up to Galois conjugation, the following five (in the notation of [St]):

$$512A1, 512B1, 512D1, 512E1, 512G1$$

the eigenvalue c_3 of the first four of them is $c_3 = \pm\sqrt{2}$, and the last one has $c_3 = \pm\sqrt{6}$.

The required computations are as follows:

The mod p congruence between f and $\rho_{V,\pi}$ implies that $a_t \equiv c_t \pmod{p}$ for every t unramified. Since we are assuming $3 \nmid C$, V has good reduction at 3, thus we have: $a_3 \equiv c_3 \pmod{p}$ (**).

From the inner twist (*) and the Weil bound $|a_3| \leq 2\sqrt{3}$ we conclude that the only possible values of a_3 are:

$$a_3 = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2 \pm 3$$

Therefore, since $c_3 = \pm\sqrt{2}$ or $\pm\sqrt{6}$ we see that the congruence (**) can not hold whenever $p > 13$. This, together with the analysis done for the complex multiplication case concludes the proof in case (i) for $p > 349$.

Case (ii): C is divisible by 3

First of all, we have to check that the residual representation $\bar{\rho}_{V,\pi}$ is irreducible. If there is a prime $q > 3$ dividing C this follows as we have already recalled from the results in [E1] for any $p > 13$. So here, to prove irreducibility, we only have

to consider the case $C = 3^k$. In this case the conductor of $\rho_{V,\pi}$ is exactly $3 \cdot 2^e$, with $e = 8$ or 9 . Now we assume that $p > 17$. The inner twist implies that $a_{17} \in \mathbb{Z}$, and it follows from the Weil bound that $|a_{17}| \leq 8$ (the representation is unramified at 17 because it only ramifies at 2, 3 and $p > 17$). Suppose that $\bar{\rho}_{V,\pi}$ is reducible. Since the Galois representation is attached to an abelian variety and a weight 2 modular form, it is well-known that in the residually reducible case one has:

$$\bar{\rho}_{V,\pi}^{s.s.} \cong \varepsilon \oplus \varepsilon^{-1} \chi$$

where χ is the mod p cyclotomic character and ε is a character with $\text{cond}(\varepsilon)^2 \mid \text{cond} \rho_{V,\pi}$. In our case, this implies that $\text{cond}(\varepsilon) \mid 16$ and in particular that $\varepsilon(17) = 1$. Thus, evaluating both sides of the congruence at 17 we obtain: $a_{17} \equiv 1 + 17 \bmod p$. Using the information we have on a_{17} we see that for any prime $p > 23$ this congruence can not hold, thus proving irreducibility for such primes.

Having shown irreducibility, we can handle any case where $3 \mid C$ using raising-the-level results of Ribet. Namely, in such a case the mod p congruence between the modular Galois representation $\rho_{V,\pi}$ and the p -adic representation corresponding to a modular form f of level 256 or 512 implies in particular that one can raise the level of f adding the prime 3 modulo p . As it is well-known, this implies in particular that the eigenvalue c_3 of f must satisfy:

$$c_3 \equiv \pm 4 \bmod p$$

and using the values of the eigenvalues c_3 of all newforms in the two spaces considered we easily check that this congruence is not satisfied by any prime $p > 17$. This gives the desired contradiction in Case (ii).

3 The equation $x^4 + 3y^2 = z^p$

This section includes the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem 3 *The diophantine equation $x^4 + 3y^2 = z^p$ does not have non-trivial primitive solutions for $p > 131$.*

Again the proof will rely on the modularity of the associated elliptic curve $E_{\{A,B,C\}}$, given in (2) with $r^2 = -3$ or, in fact, of its Weil restriction. Indeed, as we mentioned, $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves are quotients of abelian varieties of GL_2 -type. However, in order to be modular, we want to consider only special cases of $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves, namely such that the abelian variety $W := \text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(E_{A,B,C})$ where K is the field of complete definition of the curve, is itself of GL_2 -type. In this case one could find the appropriate level N of the modular forms by the formula which relates the conductor of a variety with its restriction of scalars. In the paper [Q2], Theorem 5.4, Quer gives a sufficient condition for a given $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve, C/K , to have Weil restriction of GL_2 -type, in terms of the Schur class of certain cohomology class. We now include that theorem for convenience of the reader.

For any embedding $s : K \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ we can choose an isogeny $\phi_s : C \rightarrow {}^s C$. With this we built the 2-cocycle for the trivial action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ on $\mathbb{Q}^*$,

$$c_K(s, t) = \phi_s {}^s \phi_t \phi_{st}^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

and we call $\xi_K(C) \in H^2(K/\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}^*)$ its cohomology class. In page 287 of [Q2] it is proved that $\xi_K(C)$ only depends on C . Any two cocycles in $H^2(K/\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Q}^*)$ can be viewed as taking values into $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*$, considered as a $\text{Gal}(K/\mathbb{Q})$ -module with trivial action. We will call the Schur class of c_K , (or its cohomology class $\xi_K(C)$), to¹ the image of c_K into $H^2(K/\mathbb{Q}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*)$.

Theorem 4 (Quer) *Let C be a $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve without complex multiplication completely defined over a minimal splitting field K such that $\xi_K(C)$ has trivial Schur class, with a quadratic splitting character for $\xi_K(C)$. Then $\text{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(C)$ is of GL_2 -type.*

In order to apply the theorem in our particular case, we have first to ensure that we start with a non-CM curve. This is the content of the following lemma.

Lemma 5 *The curve $E_{\{A,B,C\}}$, with $d = 3$, does not have complex multiplication.*

Proof: The proof is the same as Proposition 6.1 of [P]. Indeed, since $(3, A) = 1$ we can reduce $E_{\{A,B,C\}}$ modulo the prime $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-3}]$ above 3 to obtain an elliptic curve $E_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $|E_{\mathfrak{p}}| = 2$, and so with $\text{End}(E_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that j can not be a rational number. The result follows, as in [P], by noting that $\text{End}(E_{\{A,B,C\}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \text{End}(E_{\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$, and any elliptic curve over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ with complex multiplication by a field of class number one has to have rational j -invariant.

The next step in order to apply Theorem 4 is to control the Schur class of the curve $E_{\{A,B,C\}}$. We will use the notation in [Q1]. In this way let $M = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ and $N = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$, the fields of definition of the curve and isogeny respectively, so MN is the field of complete definition as a $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve. From the explicit equation for the isogeny from $E_{\{A,B,C\}}$ to its Galois conjugate, we can compute the 2-cocycle $c_{MN}(s, t)$ and then its sign $c_{MN}^{\pm}$ to obtain

$$c_{MN}^{\pm} = c_{\alpha, \beta}, \quad \alpha = \{1, -3\}, \quad \beta = \{1, -2\}.$$

Although $\xi_{MN}(E_{\{A,B,C\}})$ does not have trivial Schur class, in [Q1] it is proved the existence of certain extension of M , K , and an integer $\gamma \in K$, such that the twist

$$E_{\{A,B,C\}}^{\gamma} := \gamma y^2 = x^3 + 4Ax^2 + 2(A^2 + rB)x, \quad (4)$$

¹In page 304 of [Q2] there is a misprint in the definition of Schur class. It should be replaced $H^2(G_{\mathbb{Q}}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*)$ by $H^2(G, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^*)$.

has $\xi_K(E_{\{A,B,C\}}^\gamma)$ with trivial Schur class. Moreover, in the same paper, it is also given a method to find both the field K and the twist γ . In particular $K = LM$, where L is a cyclic extension $L/\mathbb{Q}$ with Dirichlet character ε such that $\text{Inf}[c_\varepsilon] = (1, 3)(-1, -2)$. The Dirichlet character for the quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$ already has this property, and so we can take $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{6})$. We now have all the ingredients to apply Theorem 3.1 of [Q1] in order to find γ . In particular, $L \cap M = \mathbb{Q}$ and so $e = 1$ and, since $K = MN = ML$, we can take $a_1 = -3$ and $b_1 = -2$, (for precise definitions see [Q1] page 195: Decomposition of K). Now, by choosing $\alpha_1 = -2 + \sqrt{6}$, an element of norm $N_{K/M}(\alpha_1) = -2$, and $\alpha_0 = \sqrt{-2} + \sqrt{-3}$, it is easy to find β_σ in (1) of [Q1] to obtain, choosing $x = -\frac{1}{4}$ in the same formula, $\gamma = 2 + \sqrt{6}$. Hence, the curve

$$E_{A,B,C}^\gamma := \gamma y^2 = x^3 + 4Ax^2 + 2(A^2 + rB)x,$$

is the quotient of an abelian variety of GL_2 -type, concretely, its Weil restriction W to $\mathbb{Q}$.

Our next step will be proving that, in fact, W is modular. Let us recall that W is an abelian variety of dimension 4, of GL_2 -type, and such that the corresponding two-dimensional Galois representations $\rho_{W,\lambda}$ have field of coefficients $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, i)$ and two inner twists (because it comes from a $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve of degree 2 over the biquadratic field K , see page 226 of [P] for a similar situation). Hence, the traces a_t of this compatible family of Galois representations, at unramified places, satisfy the following rule:

- $a_t \in \mathbb{Z}$, if t totally splits in K .
 - $a_t = z\sqrt{2}$, for some integer z , if t splits in L and is inert in M .
 - $a_t = zi$, for some integer z , if t splits in M and is inert in L .
 - $a_t = z\sqrt{-2}$, for some integer z , if t is inert in L and M .
- (cf. Theorem 5.4 of [Q2])

Since the field K is ramified at 3, the modularity of W does not follow from an easy application of [E-S] as in the case $d = 2$. Thus, in order to prove modularity we will apply (a slight generalization of) the results of Manoharmayum (cf. [Ma] and [J-M]) proving Serre's conjecture for the field of 7 elements. Since the abelian variety W has good or semistable reduction at 7 (because the $\mathbb{Q}$ -curve has semistable reduction at every odd prime of bad reduction) it is enough to prove, (cf. [Di1]), that one of the attached residual representations in characteristic 7 is reducible or modular to conclude modularity of W . Since modularity is well-known in the case of solvable image, we only have to prove modularity for one of the mod 7 representations assuming that it has non-solvable image. Let $\bar{\rho}_7$ be such a residual representation. By solvable base change, it is enough to prove modularity of the restriction of $\bar{\rho}_7$ to the Galois group of L , and we know from the inner twists of W that this restriction has coefficients in $\mathbb{F}_7$ (because 2 is a square mod 7). As we will see in the next paragraph (when computing the conductor and inner twists of W) this restriction is also unramified at 3. The results in [Ma] and [J-M] prove modularity in this context, except that they require the condition that 3 is unramified in L , which is not satisfied in our

case. However, if we inspect the proof of this result we find that using recent modularity lifting results of Kisin, (cf. [K1]), and generalizations to ramified number fields by Gee, (cf. [G]), this restriction is no longer necessary. In fact, modularity is proved by showing the existence of an elliptic curve over a solvable extension X of L whose mod 7 representation agrees with the restriction to the Galois group of X of $\bar{\rho}_7$, then it only remains to prove modularity of such elliptic curve. It is shown that the curve can be chosen such that the corresponding mod 3 Galois representation is surjective, and X can be chosen such that X/L is unramified at 3. Then as in Wiles' proof of Fermat's Last Theorem we know that this residual representation is modular, due to results of Langlands and Tunnell. The condition 3 unramified in L (therefore also in X) is used by Jarvis and Manoharmayum to apply a modularity lifting result and conclude that E is modular, but now using the results of Kisin and Gee we know that even for our field L we can conclude modularity of E and this concludes the proof that W is modular.

Remark: Due to recent works of Khare-Wintenberger, Kisin and the first author, Serre's modularity conjecture has been established in full generality (cf. [K-W], [K2] and [Di3]). Serre's conjecture implies (as proved by Ribet in [R2]) the generalized Shimura-Taniyama conjecture, i.e., the modularity of all abelian varieties over $\mathbb{Q}$ of GL_2 type, thus in particular the modularity of W can be seen as a particular case of this much more general result.

To compute the 2-part and the 3-part of the conductor of W we first get the conductor of $E_{A,B,C}^\gamma$ over K , and then apply the formula in [Mi]. Let us stress that in particular the curve $E_{A,B,C}^\gamma$ has good reduction at primes of K dividing 3 (this follows from the fact that the solution A, B, C is primitive, thus $3 \nmid A$), so the 3-part of the conductor of W comes just from base changing from K to $\mathbb{Q}$ (also, since K/L is unramified at 3, there is no ramification at 3 if we just consider the intermediate base change from K to L). In this way we obtain that the 3-part is 3 and the 2-part is 2^e with $e = 3, 5, 6$ or 7 . The determinant of the representations $\rho_{W,\lambda}$ is $\varepsilon\chi$ where χ is the ℓ -adic cyclotomic character and ε the quadratic character corresponding to the quadratic field L .

We apply again Frey's trick and, based on the shape of the discriminant of the curve $E_{A,B,C}^\gamma$, we deduce that for any prime $\pi \mid p$ in the field of coefficients, the residual representation $\bar{\rho}_{W,\pi}$ loses the ramification at any prime dividing C , which are primes of semistable reduction of the curve (we also know that $3 \nmid C$ because the solution is primitive). Thus, the conductor of $\bar{\rho}_{W,\pi}$ is $3 \cdot 2^e$ with $e = 3, 5, 6$ or 7 .

Observe that any primitive solution verifying $A^4 + 3B^2 = C^p$ must have C coprime with 3 (as we already mentioned) and odd, because if A and B were both odd then $A^4 + 3B^2 \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ which can not be a p -th power. Hence C must have some prime divisor $q > 3$, which will be a prime of semistable reduction of $E_{A,B,C}^\gamma$. Thus, the technical condition needed to apply the results in [E1] and

conclude that for p larger than a certain bound $\bar{\rho}_{W,\pi}$ is irreducible and its image is not contained in the normalizer of a Cartan subgroup hold. In particular, we have irreducibility for $p > 13$. Thus, for $p > 13$, the residual representation $\bar{\rho}_{W,\pi}$ should coincide with the residual representation corresponding to a newform of weight 2, level $3 \cdot 2^e$, and nebentypus ε , for $e = 3, 5, 6$ or 7 . We also know, by the Shimura correspondence, (see [Sh1], Theorem 7.14, and [R1], Proposition 2.3) that the extension $\mathbb{Q}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \dots)$ generated by the coefficients of the modular form coincide with the algebra of endomorphisms of W which, in this case, is $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-2})$ by Theorem 5.4 of [Q2]. See also Theorem 3.1 of [Q1] and observe that we already have an element α_1 of norm -2 . Finally we can obtain the Nebentypus of the form since it coincides, as it was conjectured by Serre in [Se], with the splitting character ε of $\xi_K(E_{\{A,B,C\}})$. One can find a proof of this fact in Theorem 5.12 of [P].

We eliminate as in the previous example all cases of modular forms with CM (Complex Multiplication) because the results of [E1] ensure large image for p sufficiently large and this contradicts a congruence with a CM newform. Again Lemma 8 applied for the field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ gives the result for every $p > 131$. The rest of the modular forms (those without CM) in the four spaces that we have to consider are eliminated by using the precise information on the coefficients a_t due to the existence of two inner twists and, as in the previous section, by comparing a few coefficients and using the Weil bound we easily show that for any $p > 131$ and any newform f with eigenvalues c_t in one of the four spaces considered there is a small prime t such that the congruence $a_t \equiv c_t \pmod{p}$ is impossible. We have computed the required eigenvalues of these newforms using SAGE (cf. [St2]).

More precisely, we have to consider all newforms of weight 2, nebentypus ε and level 24, 96, 192 and 384: in the level 24 and 96 cases all newforms have CM. In the level 192 case there are some newforms without CM, and we compute all possible values of c_5 for them and we obtain: $c_5 = \pm 2\sqrt{3}$. In the level 384 case, there are again some newforms without CM, but they satisfy: $c_7 = \pm 2\sqrt{-6}$ or $\pm 2\sqrt{-2}$.

Using these eigenvalues we have enough to derive a contradiction:

a) Level 192, nebentypus ε and weight 2 without CM: $c_5 = \pm 2\sqrt{3}$. From the description of the inner twists and the Weil bound we know that the coefficient a_5 of our representation is of the form $z\sqrt{2}$, for an integer z with $|z| \leq 3$. Then, for any $p > 13$ the congruence $a_5 \equiv c_5 \pmod{p}$ does not hold. Observe that 5 is an unramified prime for $\rho_{W,\pi}$ because the curve $E_{A,B,C}^\gamma$ can not ramify at 5 (just observe that $5 \nmid C$ because $C^p = A^4 + 3B^2$).

b) Level 384, nebentypus ε and weight 2 without CM: $c_7 = \pm 2\sqrt{-6}$ or $\pm 2\sqrt{-2}$. First assume that 7 is an unramified prime for $\rho_{W,\pi}$. Then we know from the inner twists and the Weil bound that $a_7 = zi$ for an integer z with $|z| \leq 5$. Then, for any $p > 17$ the congruence $a_7 \equiv c_7 \pmod{p}$ does not hold.

Now suppose that $\rho_{W,\pi}$ ramifies at 7 (ramification will be unipotent, 7 being a prime of bad semistable reduction of $E_{A,B,C}^\gamma$). In this case the congruence

between the mod p Galois representation attached to f and $\bar{\rho}_{W,\pi}$ implies that one can raise the level of f modulo p , adding the prime 7. For this to hold, as it is well-known (condition for level-raising), it is necessary that it holds: $c_7 \equiv \pm 8 \pmod{p}$. This congruence is not satisfied by any prime $p > 11$.

4 An explicit bound for the prime p .

In both of the previous sections, to exclude the cases in which the modular form has complex multiplication, one has to compute a concrete bound, p_0 , such that for any given prime $p > p_0$, the Galois representations coming from $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves defined over a quadratic field K having bad semistable reduction at most places have large image, and then getting a contradiction. We compute this bound exactly as in [E1] for the case considered there. The difference now is that, in any of the two cases in this paper, the fields to be considered have character of conductor at most 8 which will provide slightly different bounds than that obtained in [E1].

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a Petersson-orthogonal basis for $S_2(\Gamma_0(N))$, χ a Dirichlet character, and let us write

$$(a_m, L_\chi)_N = \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}} a_m(f), L(f \otimes \chi, 1),$$

where, $f = \sum_{m \geq 0} a_m(f)q^m$. Also, if $M|N$ we will let $(a_m, L_\chi)_N^M$ to be the contribution to $(a_m, L_\chi)_N$ of the forms coming from level M . As in [E1], any prime for which the inner product²

$$(a_1, L_\chi)_{p^2}^{p-new} = (a_1, L_\chi)_{p^2} - p(p^2 - 1)^{-1}(a_1 - p^{-1}\chi(p)a_p, L_\chi)_p \quad (5)$$

is different from zero, would suffice to guarantee large image of the residual $\bar{\rho}_{V,\pi}$ Galois representation. The bound will follow directly from Theorem 1 of [E2], and Lemma 3.13 of [E1], which we now include for completeness.

Theorem 6 (*Ellenberg*) *Let χ be a Dirichlet character of conductor q , and N and integer $N \geq 400$, $N \nmid q$. Let σ be a real number with $q^2/2\pi \leq \sigma \leq Nq/\log N$. Then we can write*

$$|(a_m, L_\chi)_N| = 4\pi\chi(m)e^{-2\pi m/\sigma N \log N} - E^{(3)} + E_3 - E_2 - E_1 + (a_m, B(\sigma N \log N)),$$

²The identity (5) is proved in Lemma 3.12 of [E1].

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& \bullet |(a_m, B(\sigma N \log N))| \leq 30 (400/399)^3 e^{2\pi} q^2 m^{3/2} N^{-1/2} d(N) N^{-2\pi\sigma/q^2}, \\
& \bullet E_1 \leq \frac{16}{3} \pi^3 m^{3/2} \sigma \log N e^{-N/(2\pi m \sigma \log N)}, \\
& \bullet E_2 \leq \frac{8}{9} \pi^5 \zeta^2(7/2) m^{5/2} \sigma^2 N^{-3/2} (\log N)^2, \\
& \bullet E_3 \leq \frac{8}{3} \zeta^2(3/2) \pi^3 \sigma m^{3/2} N^{-1/2} \log N d(N) e^{-N/(2\pi m \sigma \log N)}, \\
& \bullet E^{(3)} \leq 16\pi^3 m \sum_{c>0, N|c} \min\left[\frac{2}{\pi} \phi(q) c^{-1} \log c, \frac{1}{6} \sigma N \log N m^{1/2} c^{-3/2} d(c)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

As we said, we also need the following explicit bound

Lemma 7 (Ellenberg) *Let p be a prime, m a positive integer, χ a quadratic character of conductor q prime to p . Then*

$$(a_m, L_\chi)_p \leq 2\sqrt{3} m^{1/2} \tau(m) (1 - e^{-2\pi/q\sqrt{p}})^{-1} (4\pi + 16\zeta(3/2)^2 \pi^2 p^{-3/2}).$$

Remark: In [E2] the author only proves a version of this lemma valid only for m a multiple of p . However, as he himself mention in [E3] the result is valid as stated in Lemma 7.

With these two results on hand we can prove the following.

Lemma 8 *We have $|(a_1, L_\chi)_{p^2}^{p-\text{new}}| \neq 0$ whenever*

- $p > 349$ and χ is a Dirichlet character of order at most 8.
- $p > 131$ and χ is a Dirichlet character of order at most 3.

The proof of the lemma is a direct application of Theorem 6 and Lemma 7 to our case. We sketch the proof for the first part of the lemma and, hence, we suppose $q \leq 8$. The proof for the second part is exactly the same but just changing the value q of the conductor. In order to use Theorem 6 we will choose $\sigma = q^2/2\pi$. With those values let $p \geq 457$ be a given prime and $x = \frac{32}{\pi} N \log N$ where N will be either p or p^2 . Hence we get, for $m = 1$, $N = p^2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |(a_1, B(x))_p| \leq 5760 e^{2\pi} (400/399)^3 p^{-3}, \\
& E_1 \leq \frac{1024}{3} \pi^2 \log p e^{-p^2/(128 \log p)}, \\
& E_2 \leq \frac{65536}{9} \pi^3 p^{-3} (\log p)^2 \zeta(7/2)^2, \\
& E_3 \leq \frac{1024}{3} \zeta(3/2)^2 \pi^2 p^{-1} e^{-p^2/128 \log p} \log p, \\
& E^{(3)} \leq 1280 \pi^2 (\log p)^2 p^{-2} + \frac{1536}{3} \pi^2 \log p p^{-1} \left(\zeta^2(3/2) - \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{\tau(k)}{k^{3/2}} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

In order to get the bound for $E^{(3)}$ we have split the sum in Theorem 6 depending on whether $c > p^2$ or not. We just have to note that all the functions appearing are decreasing in p to get, with the help of maple,

$$|(a_1, L_\chi)_{p^2} - 4\pi| \leq 9.16,$$

by substituting $p = 353$. On the other hand, the same argument, but now using Lemma 7 instead gives us

$$\frac{1}{p^2 - 1} |(a_p, L_\chi)_p| \leq 0.33 \quad \text{and} \\ \frac{p}{p^2 - 1} |(a_1, L_\chi)_p| \leq 3.06.$$

for all $p \geq 353$. The result follows by (5).

Substituting q by 3 instead of 8 we get the bounds

$$|(a_1, L_\chi)_{p^2} - 4\pi| \leq 9.6, \\ \frac{1}{p^2 - 1} |(a_p, L_\chi)_p| \leq 0.35 \\ \frac{p}{p^2 - 1} |(a_1, L_\chi)_p| \leq 2.05,$$

for any $p \geq 137$ which gives the second part of the lemma.

References

- [BCDT] C. Breuil, B. Conrad, F. Diamond and R. Taylor, On the modularity of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{Q}$: wild 3-adic exercises, JAMS, 14, 843–939, (2001).
- [Da] H. Darmon, The equations $x^n + y^n = z^2$ and $x^n + y^n = z^3$. Internat. Math. Res. Notices, 10, 263–274, (1993).
- [Da2] H. Darmon, Serre’s Conjectures CICMA preprint; in ”Seminar on Fermat’s Last Theorem”, V. Kumar Murty ed., CMS conference proceedings Volume 17, pp. 135–155, (1995).
- [D-G] H. Darmon, A. Granville, On the equations $z^m = F(x, y)$ and $Ax^p + By^q = Cz^r$. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 27, No.6, 513–543, (1995).
- [D-M] H. Darmon, L. Merel, Winding quotients and some variants of Fermat’s last theorem. J. Reine Angew. Math., 490, 81–100, (1997).
- [Di1] L. Dieulefait, Modularity of Abelian Surfaces with Quaternionic Multiplication, Math. Res. Lett. 10 (2003), 145–150.
- [Di2] L. Dieulefait, Solving diophantine equations $x^4 + y^4 = qz^p$, Acta Arith. 117 (2005), 207–211.
- [Di3] L. Dieulefait, Remarks on Serre’s modularity conjecture, preprint (2006).
- [E1] J. Ellenberg, Galois representations attached to $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves and the generalized Fermat equation $A^4 + B^2 = C^p$, Amer. J. Math. 126, no. 4, 763–787, (2004).

- [E2] J. Ellenberg, On the error term in Duke's estimate for the average special value of L -functions, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 48, no. 4, 535–546, (2005).
- [E3] J. Ellenberg, Corrigendum to Galois representations attached to $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves and the generalized Fermat equation $A^4 + B^2 = C^p$, *Amer. J. of Math.* 127, 1389, (2005).
- [E-S] J. Ellenberg, C. Skinner, On the modularity of $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves, *Duke Math. J.* 109, 97–122, (2001).
- [G] T. Gee, A modularity lifting theorem for weight two Hilbert modular forms, *Math. Res. Lett.* 13 (2006), 805–811.
- [J-M] F. Jarvis, J. Manoharmayum, On the modularity of supersingular elliptic curves over certain totally real number fields, *J. Number Theory* 128 (2008) 589–618.
- [K-W] C. Khare, J.-P. Wintenberger, Serre's modularity conjecture (I), (II), preprints (2006).
- [K1] M. Kisin, Moduli of finite flat group schemes and modularity, preprint (2004), to appear in *Annals of Math.*
- [K2] M. Kisin, Modularity of 2-adic Barsotti-Tate representations, preprint (2006).
- [Ma] J. Manoharmayum, Modularity of certain $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_7)$ representations, *Math. Res. Lett.* 8, 703–712, (2001).
- [Mi] J. S. Milne, On the arithmetic of abelian varieties, *Invent. Math.* 17, 177–190, (1972).
- [P] E. E. Pyle, Abelian Varieties over $\mathbb{Q}$ with large endomorphism algebras and their simple components over $\mathbb{Q}$, *Progress in Mathematics*, 224, 189–239, (2004).
- [Q1] J. Quer, Embedding problems over abelian groups and an application to elliptic curves. *J. Algebra* **237**, 186–202, (2001).
- [Q2] J. Quer, $\mathbb{Q}$ -curves and abelian varieties of GL_2 -type *Proc. London math. soc.* (3) **81**, 285–317, (2000).
- [R1] K. Ribet, Twists of modular forms and endomorphisms of abelian varieties, *Math. Ann.* 253, 43–62, (1980).
- [R2] K. Ribet, Abelian Varieties over $\mathbb{Q}$ and modular forms, *Progress in Math.* 224, Birkhäuser, (2004), 241–261
- [R3] K. Ribet, On the equation $a^p + 2b^p + c^p = 0$. *Acta Arith.*, 79(1), 7–16, (1997).

- [Se] J.-P. Serre, Sur les représentations modulaires de degré 2 de $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, *Duke Math. J.* 54, 179–230, (1987).
- [Si] J. H. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Graduate Texts in Math. 106, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York and Heidelberg, (1985).
- [Sh1] G. Shimura, *Introduction to the arithmetic theory of automorphic forms*, Princeton Univ. Press, (1971).
- [St] W. Stein, The modular forms database,
<http://modular.math.washington.edu/mfd/mfe/>
- [St2] W. Stein, SAGE reference manual,
<http://www.sagemath.org/doc/html/ref/index.html>
- [TW] R. Taylor and A. Wiles, Ring theoretic properties of certain Hecke algebras, *Ann. of Math.* 141,3, 553–572, (1995).
- [W] A. Wiles, Modular elliptic curves and Fermat’s last theorem, *Ann. of Math.* (2) 141, no. 3, 443–551, (1995).